

Robotic segmentectomy S7+S8 with the use of indocyanine green (ICG): technical details

Gianluca Perroni^{1,2^}, Giulia Veronesi^{3,4^}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Tor Vergata University, Rome, Italy; ²Division of Thoracic and General Surgery, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Rozzano, Milan, Italy; ³Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy; ⁴IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: G Veronesi; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: G Veronesi; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: G Perroni; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Giulia Veronesi, MD. Vita-Salute San Raffaele University; IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina, 60, 20132, Milan, Italy. Email: veronesi.giulia@hsr.it.

Abstract: In the last few years segmentectomy has become a popular alternative procedure with curative intent to standard lobectomy in selected cases, like peripheral ground glass opacities (GGOs) less than 2 cm or patients non suitable for lobectomy. In the setting of a minimally invasive surgery, use of the robotic system Da Vinci has proved to be a safe and feasible approach with better dexterity and lower length of stay compared to video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS). Moreover, intravenous administration of indocyanine green (ICG) during surgery dramatically improve identification of targeted segment(s) thus sparing a certain amount of healthy lung with better conservation of respiratory function. We hereby show a step-by-step guide to perform a robotic-assisted segmentectomy of right S7+S8 with the use of ICG for intersegmental plane identification.

Keywords: Segmentectomy; minimally invasive thoracic surgery; robotic; indocyanine green (ICG)

Received: 27 February 2020; Accepted: 15 July 2020.

doi: 10.21037/jovs-20-68

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jovs-20-68>

Introduction

Since the introduction of the video-thoracoscope in 1990, minimally-invasive surgery has become a standard in early stage lung cancer thank to same long-term survival, less morbidity and shorter length of stay compared to open surgery (1). However, video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) suffer from 2-dimensional (2D) vision, long learning curve and restricted instrument's maneuverability thus leading to counterintuitive movements (2). Plus, use of low-dose CT scan allows detection of lung cancer at early stage and subsequently smaller in size (3). This rise questions about the modality of treatment of these lesions,

that may be feasible of a more conservative approach such as segmentectomy. In this scenario VATS approach may be very challenging, leading surgeon either to choose a standard lobectomy or performing segmentectomies by open surgery. Robot-assisted thoracic surgery (RATS) can overcome these limitations thank to 3-dimensional (3D) visualization, shorter learning curve and better dexterity with same outcome and complications rate as compared to VATS (4). Moreover, RATS allow a shorter length of stay with even a cost-saving balance for the Institute (5). Intraoperative administration of ICG can also improve the visualization of intersegmental plane, reducing the inter-

[^] ORCID to Gianluca Perroni: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3007-3214>; ORCID to Giulia Veronesi: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9032-1278>.

operator variability and increasing the safe margin (6). We hereby describe the technique for an S7+S8 right lower segmentectomy with the use of ICG.

Surgical technique

Preoperative planning

The “Illustrated anatomical segmentectomy for lung cancer” atlas by Nomori and Okada is a reference text when planning this sublobar surgery, with a lot of very useful tips and tricks (7). Segmental bronchial and vascular supply is often subject to variations, as described by Gossot in his paper (8). Pre- or intra-operative bronchoscopy is a very useful tool for detection of any abnormality in the bronchial tree and multirow detector CT scan (MDCT) along with administration of intravenous contrast allows a better visualization of vessel anatomy and multi-planar reconstruction (MPR) offer sagittal and coronal views of the targeted arteries and veins (9). Surface and volume rendering softwares are game changers in this type of surgery, creating an explorable 3D model which can be even printed using a commercial 3D-printer (10).

Patient positioning and port placement

As for VATS, patient is placed in a lateral position along with hip flexed and pelvis fixed to operating table for more stability throughout the entire procedure. A 3–4-cm utility port is crafted at the IV or V intercostal space (ICS) on the anterior axillary line, while a camera-port is positioned at the VII ICS on the mid-axillary line. This position may be more lateral on the left side to avoid interference with the heart. Other 2 incisions are performed for the remaining arms at the level of VIII ICS on the tip of the scapula line and in the auscultatory triangle. CO₂ insufflation is not needed, with the only exception of obese patients, hyperinflated lung or high diaphragm.

S7+S8 segmentectomy

Operation begin with the opening of the fissure and identification of A7 and A8 branches, which usually arise from the anterior face of the main PA and distally to A6. Before ligation and division of the arteries, check for the preservation of A4 and A5 arteries for the middle lobe. Beneath the transected vessels, station 11 lymph node are found around the targeted bronchus. Before stapling is

possible to verify the correct segmentary bronchus with the use of intraoperative bronchoscopy and near infra-red (NIR) vision with Firefly™ mode, which allows the operator to see the bronchoscope tip-light through the bronchial tree as a greenish dot. Once vessels sutured, an intravenous bolus of 6–8 mL of diluted ICG (2.5 mg/10 mL) followed by a push of 10 mL saline solution is administered. With Firefly™ mode on green glow appears from mediastinal and lung tissue within 30 seconds from infusion, with maximum intensity in about a minute and fading slowly afterwards. Targeted segment appears with no enhancement and clear limit from remaining lobe in clearly visible. At this point, surgeon can easily mark the limits of intersegmental plain using bipolar forceps equipped on one arm by spot coagulation on transition line. Restoring normal view on our camera, completion with linear stapler is possible just following the marks we previously made on parenchyma (*Video 1*). Division of the pulmonary ligament and identification of the inferior pulmonary vein along with its segmentary branches its usually not required in this surgical approach. After removal of surgical specimen, the tumor is open to ass the distance from the margins, in selected cases frozen section of the margin is required to the pathologist. At the end of procedure, sterile saline solution is instilled inside chest and lung in inflated to check any air-leak on suture line. If present, aerostatic agents like collagen patch (Tachosil®) or cyanoacrylate vaporized glue (Glubran®) can be applied on parenchyma with effectiveness (11). Hemostasis of incisions is performed by extracting and checking ports one by one using equipped camera on Da Vinci from inside. A single chest tube is positioned through lowest incision and is usually sufficient to drain both air and liquid.

Conclusions

Segmentectomy is one of the greatest challenges of our time in thoracic surgery, aiming to obtain excellent oncological results and preserving lung function. Ongoing trials will prove whether or not segmentectomy is equivalent to lobectomy in early stage lung cancer, until then indications to sublobar resection are limited to patients with <2 cm peripheral subsolid lung cancer, poor lung function or other comorbidities, multifocal lesions and lung resection after prior lobectomy (12–14). RATS is superior to VATS in terms of 3D visualization, ergonomics for the operator, maneuverability of instruments, tremor filtering and learning curve (15). Moreover, Toker *et al.* showed that

with RATS is possible to harvest more N1-level lymph-node station although there is no difference in the number of lymph-nodes per station dissected compared to VATS or open approach (16). On the other side, initial cost of the Da Vinci surgical system is the main limitation to the widespreading of the robotic-aided segmentectomy, even if the cost-per-patient is inferior mainly due to a shorter in-hospital stay (5,17). In the next years, this limitation will be probably overcome by the introduction in the market of robotic surgical system manufactured by other companies. We may expect, also, a reduction in terms of cost for the disposable instrumentation thank to third-party supplier or refurbishing services (18).

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Jean-Marc Baste) for the series “Advancement in Robotic Assisted Thoracic Surgery” published in *Journal of Visualized Surgery*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jovs-20-68>). The series “Advancement in Robotic Assisted Thoracic Surgery” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. GV serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Journal of Visualized Surgery* from Jun 2020 to May 2022. GV reports to receive honoraria from AbMedica SpA, Medtronic and Verb Medical. GP have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the

original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Flores RM, Park BJ, Dycoco J, et al. Lobectomy by video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) versus thoracotomy for lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;138:11-8.
2. Rocco G, Internullo E, Cassivi SD, et al. The variability of practice in minimally invasive thoracic surgery for pulmonary resections. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2008;18:235-47.
3. NELSON study shows CT screening for nodule volume management reduces lung cancer mortality by 26 percent in men. WCLC Press Program Press Release De Koning. 2018. Available online: <https://wclc2018.iaslc.org/> (Accessed December 23, 2018).
4. Cerfolio RJ, Cichos KH, Wei B, et al. Robotic lobectomy can be taught while maintaining quality patient outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;152:991-7.
5. Musgrove KA, Hayanga JA, Holmes SD, et al. Robotic Versus Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery Pulmonary Segmentectomy: A Cost Analysis. *Innovations (Phila)* 2018;13:338-43.
6. Mehta M, Patel YS, Yasufuku K, et al. Near-infrared mapping with indocyanine green is associated with an increase in oncological margin length in minimally invasive segmentectomy. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2019;157:2029-35.
7. Nomori H, Okada M. *Illustrated anatomical Segmentectomy for Lung Cancer*. Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, 2012.
8. Gossot D, Seguin-Givelet A. Anatomical variations and pitfalls to know during thoracoscopic segmentectomies. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10:S1134-44.
9. Hagiwara M, Shimada Y, Kato Y, et al. High-quality 3-dimensional image simulation for pulmonary lobectomy and segmentectomy: results of preoperative assessment of pulmonary vessels and short-term surgical outcomes in consecutive patients undergoing video-assisted thoracic surgery†. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2014;46:e120-6.
10. Liu X, Zhao Y, Xuan Y, et al. Three-dimensional printing in the preoperative planning of thoracoscopic pulmonary segmentectomy. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2019;8:929-37.
11. Filosso PL, Ruffini E, Sandri A, et al. Efficacy and safety of human fibrinogen-thrombin patch (TachoSil®) in the treatment of postoperative air leakage in patients submitted to redo surgery for lung malignancies: a randomized trial.

- Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013;16:661-6.
12. Brunelli A, Charloux A, Bolliger CT, et al. ERS/ESTS clinical guidelines on fitness for radical therapy in lung cancer patients (surgery and chemo-radiotherapy). *Eur Respir J* 2009;34:17-41. Erratum in: *Eur Respir J*. 2009 Sep;34(3):782.
 13. Altorki NK, Wang X, Wigle D, et al. Perioperative mortality and morbidity after sublobar versus lobar resection for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer: post-hoc analysis of an international, randomised, phase 3 trial (CALGB/Alliance 140503). *Lancet Respir Med* 2018;6:915-24.
 14. Nakamura K, Saji H, Nakajima R, et al. A phase III randomized trial of lobectomy versus limited resection for small-sized peripheral non-small cell lung cancer (JCOG0802/WJOG4607L). *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2010;40:271-4.
 15. Wei B, D'Amico TA. Thoracoscopic versus robotic approaches: advantages and disadvantages. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2014;24:177-88, vi.
 16. Toker A, Özyurtkan MO, Demirhan Ö, et al. Lymph Node Dissection in Surgery for Lung Cancer: Comparison of Open vs. Video-Assisted vs. Robotic-Assisted Approaches. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2016;22:284-90.
 17. Novellis P, Bottoni E, Voulaz E, et al. Robotic surgery, video-assisted thoracic surgery, and open surgery for early stage lung cancer: comparison of costs and outcomes at a single institute. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10:790-8.
 18. Available online: <https://www.restorerobotics.com/>

doi: 10.21037/jovs-20-68

Cite this article as: Perroni G, Veronesi G. Robotic segmentectomy S7+S8 with the use of indocyanine green (ICG): technical details. *J Vis Surg* 2020.