Planning minimally invasive mitral valve surgery

Samuel Heuts¹², Jules R. Olsthoorn¹, Jos G. Maessen¹², Peyman Sardari Nia¹²

¹Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ²Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Peyman Sardari Nia, MD, PhD. Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Center+, 6229 HX, Maastricht, The Netherlands. Email: peyman.sardarinia@mumc.nl.

Abstract: Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery (MIMVS) has still not been widely adopted as standard approach for surgical treatment of mitral valve disease due to a lack of consistent supporting evidence. Several studies have demonstrated MIMVS to be associated with shorter hospitalization stay, less bleeding and less wound infections, in spite of increased risk of stroke and longer operating times. In our philosophy, these complications can be reduced by use of precise patient selection and extensive preoperative planning. The current review aims to present an overview of current literature on this topic together with our institutions experience in this field. Advanced application of echocardiography and computed tomography (CT) with three-dimensional anatomical reconstruction could lead to an exclusion of high-risk patients for MIMVS, resulting in a reduction of complications and leading to potential superiority of this procedure compared to conventional surgery. With emergence of trans-cathether and surgical off-pump mitral valve procedures, echocardiography will play an increasingly important role, as these operations require echoguidance. Due to technological advancements in the field of CT, we foresee this imaging modality to become more widely accepted and available, facilitating a more precise assessment of cardiac function and valvular pathology.
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Introduction

Although minimally invasive mitral valve surgery (MIMVS) was already described in the late 1990’s (1,2), adoption rate of this technique remains rather low >20 years later (15% of all mitral procedures in the United States) and MIMVS is not mentioned in most recent valvular heart disease guidelines (3-6). This can partly be explained by the accompanying increased technical difficulties in MIMVS with a steep learning curve (7), potentially holding interested surgeons back in starting or continuing such a comprehensive program (8). Additionally, there is still a lack of convincing data favoring this technique, with only a few inconclusive randomized controlled trials and limited meta-analyses of retrospective studies dealing with this subject (9-11). In general, most of these comparative studies demonstrate less bleeding, less wound infections, more rapid detubation and shorter intensive care unit and hospital stay in spite of longer cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and clamping times with an increased risk of stroke. Echocardiographic follow-up of these patients revealed no difference in residual mitral regurgitation (MR).

However, access (12) (different locations and sizes of incisions), vision (13) (direct, video-assisted or totally endoscopic) and CPB cannulation strategies (14) (antegrade vs. retrograde) vary between centers and make these results even more difficult to compare and interpret.

In our philosophy, precise patient selection and extensive pre-operative planning is imperative for this procedure and could lead to a reduction in complications following MIMVS, potentially resulting in superiority of MIMVS over conventional surgery through a median sternotomy.
Therefore, in our institution, all patients considered for MIMVS undergo a standard preoperative diagnostic pathway evaluated by a dedicated mitral valve heart team after which they are allocated to their designated treatment (Figure 1). In this philosophy of Medicine, we select the patients that benefit most of our standardized technique, rather than adapting the surgical technique to the specific patient (16).

The current review aims to present an overview of current literature on this topic together with our institutions experience in this field as a guidance for surgeons starting this program, in order avoid potential preventable complications.

**Standardized preoperative diagnostic pathway**

All patients referred for surgical correction of mitral valve disease are discussed in our weekly convening dedicated mitral valve heart team. If a patient is considered eligible for a minimally invasive approach (i.e., no need for concomitant coronary artery bypass grafting, aortic valve replacement etc.), the patients undergoes a standardized preoperative diagnostic pathway consisting of the following modalities.

**Electrocardiography**

All current and past electrocardiographic examinations are reviewed for occurrences of atrial fibrillation (AF) and ventricular dyssynchrony. In case of a reported episode of AF, the patient is discussed in our dedicated rhythm heart team (consisting of rhythm surgeons and electrophysiologists). According to most recent guidelines, patients with paroxysmal, persistent and long-standing persistent AF have a class I indication for concomitant surgical ablation and are planned for a concomitant procedure (17).

**Chest X-ray**

Standard performed chest X-rays are assessed for deviant thoracic anatomy and height of the right hemi diaphragm. Additionally, acute and chronic pulmonary pathology can be identified using this simple imaging modality, potentially influencing the preoperative planning pathway.

**Echocardiography**

Before referral to our institution, patients undergo standard transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) at their own hospital, which is assessed by imaging specialists of our mitral valve heart team. As most important limitation, this technique is highly operator- and interpreter-dependent, warranting assessment by imaging cardiologists with (echocardiographic) expertise in valvular heart disease. As an advantage, TTE is widely available, cost-effective and has excellent results for functional assessment (18).

For evaluation of severity of mitral valve disease, TTE remains the gold standard. Using TTE, MR severity is evaluated by valve morphology, colour flow jet, vena contracta width, pulmonary vein flow, time-velocity integral of mitral inflow, effective orifice regurgitant orifice area and regurgitant volume (19). However, regarding colour flow jet, one should be careful to base assessment of severity solely on the extent of the jet. Although a large jet reaching the pulmonary veins is usually considered severe, small jets reaching just above the mitral valve can be misleadingly interpreted as non-severe but can potentially be influenced by increased left atrial pressure (20). Additionally, young patients with extensive myxomatous valve disease (Barlow’s) can exhibit all signs of severe MR, but due to mitral annular disjunction, the valve itself can be fully displaced into the atrium without a large colour flow jet (21). Therefore, severity assessment should be

---

**Figure 1** Concept of personalized medicine. 3D, three-dimensional; CT, computed tomography (reproduced with permission from Sardari Nia et al., Copyright Oxford University Press) (15).
determined using an integrative approach including all (if available) aforementioned qualitative, semi-quantitative and quantitative parameters. In addition to severity of MR, TTE gives a general overview of cardiac function and other valvular pathologies. In relation to the mitral valve, it is imperative to evaluate pulmonary artery pressure, right ventricular function and potential concomitant tricuspid regurgitation (TR). Although right ventricular function is not evaluated by most risk scores, right ventricular dysfunction has proven to be an under-recognized predictor of mortality after cardiac surgery (22). Furthermore, thresholds for concomitant tricuspid repair in mitral valve surgery have lowered over the past years and concomitant repair has been associated with improved outcomes (23). According to recent guidelines, additional tricuspid repair should be considered in case of tricuspid annular dilatation >40 mm, even regardless of severity of TR.

In case of degenerative MR with prolapsing segment(s), repair is likely, warranted and associated with lower morbidity, mortality and improved survival compared to mitral valve replacement (24-26). For functional MR, echocardiographic parameters can help to determine probability of a successful repair. The following unfavorable echocardiographic parameters have been described; annular dilatation >50 mm, involvement of >3 leaflet scallops including the anterior mitral valve leaflet and severe mitral annular calcification (27). Furthermore, Carpentier functional type IIIa (rheumatic) valves and ischemic valves (Carpentier type IIIb) with severe ventricular dilatation have a low repair probability (the latter due to postoperative ventricular remodeling).

If repair is likely, as in degenerative disease, patients in our institution undergo subsequent transesophageal echocardiography (TEE), as it is superior to TTE for localization of mitral prolapse (28), to determine the exact mechanism of regurgitation (i.e., specific prolapsing segments) in order to predict procedural repair strategy. Using TEE, precise measurement of the anterior mitral leaflet length and intercommissural distance can aid to predict the required ring size for mitral repair (29).

In complex degenerative pathology, three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the diseased valve can be created using dedicated software (15), allowing the operator to assess the valve from all angles (Figure 2). Additionally, these computer aided designs are superior in ring size prediction (29). For specific cases, these reconstructions can even be used to 3D print (rapid prototyping) the patient specific pathological valve, which is discussed in another chapter of this issue.

**Coronary angiography**

As for all non-coronary cardiac procedures, coronary angiography (CAG) is paramount for evaluation of potential subclinical coronary artery disease (CAD) requiring concomitant coronary artery bypass grafting. In addition to evaluation of CAD, CAG can also be used for planning of mitral valve surgery. First, mitral annular calcification can be assessed in location and extent, potentially influencing procedural strategy. Furthermore, and most importantly, the trajectory of the circumflex artery (RCx) can be determined in relation to the mitral valve. A less well-known, uncommon, but disastrous complication in mitral valve surgery is accidental (partial) occlusion of the RCx (31,32). It is even thought to be an under-recognized and under-reported complication, as many patients present with ischemia-like features and reduced ventricular function directly after mitral valve surgery (33). This complication can be the result of either (I) complete obliteration of the RCx lumen by annular sutures or (II) by kinking of the RCx towards the mitral valve due to traction of annular sutures (Figures 3,4). Retrospectively, this complication was observed most in patients with a left dominant coronary system (35). This can be explained by the course of the RCx, which runs closer to the mitral valve in hearts with left dominance (36). Coronary angiography should be used as a guidance in procedural strategy in patients with left dominance, as left dominance is not a contraindication.
for MIMVS or conventional mitral valve surgery, rather a warning. Care should be taken in placement of annular sutures around the anterolateral commissure, as postmortem studies revealed this to be the area with the shortest distance to the RCx, corresponding to the proximal one-third of the coronary artery (35).

**Computed tomography (CT) and anatomical reconstructions**

Indication for surgical approach (i.e., minithoracotomy or sternotomy) is based on anatomical features and comorbidities. In order to assess anatomical eligibility, standard contrast-enhanced CT scans are performed at our institution in all patients in work-up prior to mitral valve interventions. CT is a relatively low-cost non-invasive diagnostic imaging modality which produces computer-processed combinations of a predefined number of X-rays. An important downside of this modality is the use of radiation and its subsequent attributable cancer risk (37). With recent technological advancements, such as automated tube voltage selection, tube current modulation and ultra-fast scan acquisition, radiation dose can be reduced markedly (38,39). Combined with a reduction of contrast media volume used, decreasing prevalence of contrast induced neuropathy, CT has become more widely available and accepted (40). As described previously, these CT images can then be used to acquire a 3D reconstruction of the patients’ anatomy (Vesalius 3D, PS-Medtech, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) (41).

The first step in realising these high-quality, reliable and reproducible reconstructions of patients’ anatomy prior to surgery is the initial image acquisition. Based on our early experience and different acquisition protocols, nowadays an electrocardiography (ECG)-triggered helical CT angiography of the aortic root and ascending aorta followed by a high-pitch spiral CT angiography from the aortic arch to the femoral bifurcation is performed in all patients. Close collaboration with the local department of radiology

Figure 3 Pre- and postoperative contrast enhanced cardiac CT in a patient with postoperative iatrogenic occlusion of the RCx after mitral valve repair. (A) pre-operative CT revealing an intact RCx (dotted arrow) and (B) postoperative CT 3 months after mitral valve repair showing a fully obliterated RCx (arrows) due to annular sutures. CT, computed tomography; RCx, circumflex artery.

Figure 4 Direct postoperative invasive coronary angiography after mitral valve repair revealing an iatrogenic total occlusion of the proximal RCx (34). RCx, circumflex artery.
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Video 2: Direct postoperative invasive coronary angiography after mitral valve repair revealing an iatrogenic total occlusion of the proximal RCx (34). RCx, circumflex artery. Samuel Heuts, Jules R. Olsthoorn, Jos G. Maessen, Peyman Sardari Nia*
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is imperative to develop the ideal scan protocol. Using dedicated software, the 3D reconstruction is then derived from these high-quality initial scans (41,42).

First, suitability for CPB cannulation and safe retrograde perfusion is evaluated on these reconstructions. MIMVS using retrograde perfusion has been associated with increased risk of stroke (43). However, in our vision, risk of stroke can be minimalized by preoperative assessment of the abdominal aorta and peripheral vessels (Figure 5). A recent study by our research group demonstrated approximately 30% of patients to be less suitable for a minimally invasive approach, as we found the following characteristics on CT reconstruction: severe calcification of the abdominal aorta (porcelain aorta), iliofemoral vessel tortuosity, (ascending) aortic elongation and extensive pericardial calcification (41). These patients were excluded from a minimally invasive approach, as a sternotomy with antegrade perfusion would be safer, avoiding the risk of stroke. Additionally, in severely elongated aortas, we try to avoid aortic occlusion with the trans-thoracic clamp, while we demonstrated aortic elongation to be age-dependent and a risk factor for the development of type A aortic dissection (45,46).

Of note, one could argue to tailor surgical and perfusion techniques to the individual patient based on CT, as different centers have demonstrated excellent results with antegrade perfusion techniques in MIMVS using axillary artery cannulation or direct aortic cannulation (14,47,48). However, we advocate a standardized approach in all patients undergoing MIMVS, rather than using numerous different strategies.

Second, we determine the strategy for aortic occlusion. Our preferred method for aortic clamping is by use of an endo-aortic balloon (IntraClude, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) as it has similar outcome compared to the transthoracic aortic clamp (Chitwood Clamp, Scanlan International, St Paul, MN, USA) and does not require placement of an aortic needle for cardioplegia delivery while this is integrated in the system, making it especially ideal for reoperative mitral valve surgery (49,50). Ascending aortic dilatation is evaluated on CT, as the endo-aortic balloon requires an aortic diameter <4 cm to safely achieve secure occlusion (51). Furthermore, the balloon is introduced in a special side port of the femoral arterial cannula and advanced under TEE guidance to its position in the ascending aorta. To facilitate sufficient lumen for introduction of the balloon, a minimal cannula size of 19 Ch is required. We determine our cannula size and cannulation side prior to the procedure using dedicated software, which simulates the introduction and advancement of the cannula using iliofemoral vessel diameter calculations (Figure 6, Synapse3D, Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Third, the ideal intercostal space for the incision of the mini-thoracotomy is determined preoperatively, based on the level of the left atrium, Waterston’s groove and the mitral valve in relation to the height of the right hemidiaphragm (52) (Figure 7). Figure 8 demonstrates an
example of a patient with excellent anatomical features for a minimally invasive approach.

As an additional merit, CT can be used for evaluation of coronary artery disease in young low-risk patients. Of note, coronary CT can only be used to rule out coronary disease (55). If CT shows no coronary calcifications, coronary angiography is not required. In case of some calcification, CT is still insufficient to quantify stenoses, and additional invasive angiography is warranted (56).

Finally, we observed numerous incidental findings (incidentalomas) on CT. In our clinical practice, CT reveals in approximately 25% of all patients a subclinical incidentaloma, of which one-third are actual malignancies requiring follow-up or intervention, sometimes prior to mitral valve surgery.

**Future perspectives**

With the emergence of minimally invasive and even off-pump beating-heart procedures, patient selection and preoperative planning will play an increasingly important role in the near future. Most of these off-pump procedures, such as trans-catheter edge-to-edge mitral valve repair (57), trans-catheter (in)direct annuloplasty (58,59) and surgical beating-heart mitral valve repair (60-62) require intra-procedural TEE-guidance.

First, preoperative TEE is warranted in these patients, as it is imperative to evaluate the possibility to obtain images of sufficient quality as during the actual procedure. Second, TEE is used to identify suitable patients with eligible valvular pathology for such an approach as described in this review.

Regarding CT, recent advancements in reduction of radiation dosages and contrast media volume will result in an increased use of this imaging modality, making it more available as risk of complications decreases. Currently, cardiac CT is not well-established enough to determine the exact mechanism of valvular dysfunction compared to echocardiography and quantification of coronary artery disease compared to invasive angiography. However, we foresee important developments in these areas, making invasive coronary angiography redundant as it carries a higher complication rate (63). An important additional merit of CT over conventional echocardiography is that CT can be used to precisely define and measure mitral annular geometry (64,65) which can facilitate a preoperative prediction of the ring size for surgical or trans-catheter mitral valve repair. Finally, with recent developments in trans-catheter mitral valve replacement technologies (66,67), preoperative assessment of the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) and prediction of the neo-LVOT will become mandatory in patient selection for these procedures, promoting the use of CT in these patients (68).

**Conclusions**

As mitral valve procedures are becoming less invasive, patient selection and preoperative planning are becoming increasingly important. Using different imaging modalities
as CT and echocardiography, precise patient selection could lead to a reduction of complications, as high-risk patients for these operations can be filtered out preoperatively, potentially resulting in superiority of MIMVS over conventional surgical approaches.
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