Antegrade type A aortic dissection under endoscopic vision during minimally invasive mitral valve repair: a case report
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Abstract: A 68-year-old woman was referred for surgical correction of severe mitral valve regurgitation and underwent minimally invasive mitral valve repair (MIMVS). Per-procedurally, the patient developed an antegrade type A aortic dissection (TAD) under endoscopic vision, while slightly manipulating the ascending aorta. An emergency sternotomy was performed, and the antegrade TAD, as well as the mitral regurgitation were dealt with accordingly. The patient had a normal aortic diameter but an elongated aorta. This case prompted us to alter our method of preoperative planning by implementing computed tomography angiography in the work-up of every patient for MIMVS. Furthermore, following this case we demonstrated aortic elongation to be a potential risk factor for TAD and considered this to be a relative contraindication for MIMVS.
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Introduction

Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery (MIMVS) has proven to be a safe alternative approach to sternotomy for surgical correction of mitral valve disease (1). Since valve exposure is achieved through a right anterolateral mini-thoracotomy and cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is established peripherally (usually in the femoral vessels), this procedure carries different potential complications than a conventional approach. As retrograde perfusion is used, an assessment of the abdominal aorta and peripheral vessels should be performed in order to prevent retrograde dissection, which is one of the most feared complications in MIMVS. However, we present a case of antegrade dissection during MIMVS in a patient with a normal ascending aortic diameter.

Case presentation (Figures 1-3)

A 68-year-old female patient was referred to our centre for surgical correction of severe mitral valve regurgitation (MR). Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) revealed a dilated left ventricle [left ventricular end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD) 68 mm] with an ejection fraction of 35% without significant aortic dilatation (sinuses of Valsalva 41 mm, reference value 29–45 mm, Figure 1A) (4). The patient was considered eligible for a minimally invasive mitral valve repair under endoscopic vision.

First, port-access incision was performed at 4th intercostal space and the pleural space was evaluated for potential adhesions before administration of unfractionated heparin for extracorporeal circulation. CPB was established in the right groin, using a femoral venous (25 Ch) and femoral arterial cannula (19 Ch) under transoesophageal echocardiographic (TOE) guidance.

Subsequently, the pericardium was opened 3 cm above the phrenic nerve and three pericardial sutures were placed. In order to achieve aortic occlusion with a transthoracic clamp, the transverse sinus was evaluated for any adhesions
Using forceps and a suction tube. During this standard manoeuvre a large, antegradely moving hematoma formed around the ascending aorta (Figure 2). Transoesophageal echocardiography confirmed the presence of a dissection flap (Figure 2).

An emergency sternotomy was performed. Although the ascending aorta did not seem dilated, a remarkable elongation of the aorta was observed, which was also present on preoperative chest X-ray in retrospect (Figure 1B). A left vent was placed and the patient was cooled to 25 degrees Celsius. Cardioplegia was administered selectively in both coronary ostia (St. Thomas crystalloid, 1,100 mL) after clamping and opening the aorta. The entry tear was located in the ascending aorta anteriorly opposite to where the aorta was manipulated. As the antegrade hematoma development occurred without the presence of high line pressures during establishment of CPB, antegrade type A aortic dissection (TAD) was suspected. First, a supracoronary ascending aortic replacement was performed using a 30-mm Gelweave prosthesis. During cooling to 25 degrees, the mitral valve was repaired by placing 2 pairs of neochords at the P2 segment and by implantation of a 34-mm annuloplasty ring (Carpentier Edwards Physio 4450)

Finally, a partial arch replacement was performed in circulatory arrest (18 minutes) using an Anteflow Gelweave prosthesis after which CPB could be switched to antegrade perfusion. Total cross clamp time was 130 minutes, CPB time was 248 minutes. Intra-operative TOE showed no residual MR, low gradient over the mitral valve and a sufficient aortic valve, without wall motion abnormalities.

The postoperative course was unremarkable. On computed tomography angiography (CTA) of the aorta and peripheral vessels, dissection in the descending aorta was absent, confirming the diagnosis of antegrade TAD (Figure 3). Histology of the dissected ascending aortic wall revealed no evidence for connective tissue disease. Four years later, the patient is still in good clinical condition without recurrence of MR or aortic pathologies.

**Discussion**

Aortic dissection during cardiac surgery is a rare but potentially catastrophic complication with significant morbidity and mortality. As retrograde perfusion through the femoral vessels is the preferred CPB method in MIMVS,
retrograde dissection is a feared complication (5). The most common cause of retrograde dissection is guidewire injury, abdominal aortic atherosclerosis and technical faults during peripheral cannulation (6). However, antegrade TAD has not been described during MIMVS. As the ascending aortic diameter of our patient was not significantly dilated, we were caught by surprise of this complication. As we observed a significantly elongated ascending aorta intra-operatively, this case prompted us to alter our method of preoperative planning in patient work-up for MIMVS. All patients evaluated for a minimally invasive approach now undergo preoperative CTA of the aorta and peripheral vessels to evaluate: aortic dilatation, aortic elongation, abdominal aortic atherosclerosis, peripheral artery disease and tortuosity of the iliofemoral vessels (7). For the definition of aortic elongation, we described aortic lengthening during life (8) and demonstrated ascending aortic length to be increased in patients suffering from TAD (9).

To conclude, TAD is a severe complication which can occur during MIMVS retrogradely, as well as antegrade, regardless of aortic dilatation. Aortic elongation could act as relative contraindication for MIMVS and implementation of CTA in the work-up of MIMVS patients is a valuable preoperative planning method to prevent potentially avoidable complications.
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