The role of endovascular procedures in Marfan syndrome (MFS) remains an issue of debate. In principle, endovascular techniques are not intended for the treatment of aortic or vascular conditions in patients with genetic connective tissue disease. Despite the highly restrictive use of endovascular technology in genetically linked conditions, more than 69 cases have been published (1), with the impression that endovascular treatment may have a place as life-saving bridging procedure until a definitive open repair can be performed, while elective endovascular management is not established.

We report here the elective endovascular management of a subclavian aneurysm of a 46-year-old female Marfan patient after multiple surgeries for vascular and aortic manifestations. In 2005, she had a Crawford II repair for a descending aortic dissection followed by a valve-sparing aortic root replacement in 2008 for ascending aortic dissection, and bilateral mastectomy for breast cancer (BRCA2 mutation) in 2007. In 2016, a routine computed tomography (CT) angiogram revealed a new circumscript aneurysm (4.1x3.3 cm) of the left subclavian artery (LSA) with retro-clavicular intrathoracic location. A pulsatile sensation in the left supra-clavicular fossa and increasing hoarseness were noted, confirmed by palpable swelling. The patient was initially offered surgical resection, however, with critically reduced lung function, was found at high risk and opted for an endovascular solution. Thus, a highly flexible self-expanding stent-graft (Viabahn® 9x100 mm) was placed across the aneurysm with about 3 cm of landing zone on either side, leaving left vertebral and mammary artery unobstructed. For this percutaneous strategy, a short 9F sheath was advanced into the left brachial artery. Under heparin, a “0.035” guide-wire was navigated across the aneurysm to reach the ascending aorta, followed by a Viabahn® stent-graft (9x100 mm) along the wire and precisely deployed to exclude the aneurysm. A completion angiogram confirmed correct positioning and absence of endoleak; the brachial artery access was closed by manual compression for 30 minutes followed by a TR-band® for 3 hours. Before hospital discharge the next day, a CT angiogram demonstrated precise deployment and no compromise to vital side branches and complete exclusion of the aneurysm. The patient went home on combination medication of Aspirin 75 mg, Bisoprolol 5 mg, and Losartan 50 mg, and was followed for 1-year; at 1-year follow-up, a CT scan confirmed no endoleak, and eventually complete abolition of the vascular aneurysm (Figure 1). As durability of an endovascular procedure in MFS patients is still debatable, the patient will be followed at annual intervals.

Discussion

Even in patients with MFS, percutaneous “neo-branching” can provide a satisfactory solution with safe and sustained exclusion of an aneurysm by anchoring a graft snuggly within target vessel (2). The case represents the departure from the conventional open approach of resecting a subclavian artery aneurysm and confirms the safe and lasting result of an endovascular strategy in MFS. Particularly in cases with multiple previous thoracotomies and major surgery, a minimally invasive procedure may be the best option regardless of the background of hereditary condition such as Marfan. Self-expanding highly flexible stent-grafts could be the best option in such vascular pathologies because they are less prone to deformation with movement of the shoulder joint; hence, the heparin-coated Viabahn® stent-graft is highly flexible allowing perfect apposition to the tortuous anatomy in the subclavian region (3,4). Finally, with follow-up over 18 months, no dislocation or graft failure has been noted.
Although the number of patients with MFS subjected to endovascular treatment is still small, the reported results are indeed encouraging with very low mortality. Conversely, the rate of reinterventions for primary and/or secondary endoleak (with lack of remodelling) is still high. Dong et al. (5) reported a frequent complication after stent-graft implantation in MFS's retrograde aortic dissection (rTAD). The European registry on endovascular aortic repair complication (EUREC) could confirm the higher incidence of retrograde dissection with 8.4% in the case of MFS (6). Thus, TEVAR in MFS patients should only be considered if devices are highly conformable and flexible and thereby atraumatic. Stent graft-induced new entry (SINE) tears can occur and are more likely to occur in MFS. Mortality of SINE is significant and was quoted at around 30% (7). In the setting of MFS, the incidence of SINE is higher at 33% compared to 3% in non-Marfan patients (7). Thus, patients with hereditary aortic condition are currently not yet considered good candidates for endovascular management. In addition, recent consensus paper on open and endovascular management in patients with thoracic aortic disease obtains from recommending endovascular procedures in Marfan patients unless conventional open surgery incurs some prohibitive risk (8,9). Endovascular procedures may be accepted as bail-out procedures or as a concept of bridging to classic open surgical repair, or as a procedure after aortic repair when landing zones constitute surgically implanted prosthetic

Figure 1 2D and 3D volume rendered computed tomography angiogram demonstrates. (A,D) Pre-procedure, Circumscript LSA aneurysm measuring (4.1×3.3 cm); (B,E) post-procedure; before hospital discharge, the LSA aneurysm was completely excluded after deployment of Viabahn® stent-graft (9×100 mm); (C,F) at 1-year follow-up, a CT scan showed the LSA stent-graft remains widely patent with no evidence of the previous aneurysm, immediately proximal to the stent and distal to the left vertebral origin, there is a moderate concentrating narrowing with an estimated stenosis 30% (arrow).
grafts. Recent European guidelines on the management of aortic diseases corroborate that classic open surgery should be offered as first-line therapy (class IIa, level of evidence C), except in an emergency setting to stabilise or bridge to definitive surgical repair (10). On aggregate, endovascular management is becoming an option for even MFS patients with a prohibitively high surgical risk; in selected cases can be safely placed for instance in the presence of a proximal or distal prosthetic graft in place. Moreover, endovascular management can also be an option of emergency situations like complicated type B acute aortic dissections and ruptured aneurysms when surgical outcomes are sobering. Regardless of treatment, close clinical and imaging surveillance appears to be mandatory to monitor outcomes and to identify early stent-graft related complications or disease progression in every case.

Conclusions

In patients with hereditary aortic conditions management of vascular pathology is highly complex; modern endovascular management is now providing the option not only as an adjunct or bridge to later elective surgical repair but also to provide a definitive solution both in an emergency and elective setting.
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