State-of the-art review on the renal and visceral protection during open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair
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Abstract: During open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair (OTAAAR), there is an inevitable organ ischemic period that occurs when the abdominal arteries are being reattached to the aortic graft. Despite various protective techniques, the incidence of renal and visceral complications remains substantial. This state-of-the-art review gives an overview of the current and most evidence-based organ protection methods during OTAAAR, based on the most recent publications and personal experience. An electronic search was performed in four medical databases, using the following MeSH terms: thoracoabdominal aneurysm, TAAAR, visceral protection, renal protection, kidney, perfusion, and intestines. Every publication type was considered. The literature search was ended on August 31st, 2017. The left heart bypass (LHB) is currently the most frequent adjunct to provide distal aortic perfusion (DAP) during aortic clamping. Together with systemic hypothermia, it forms the cornerstone in organ protection during aortic clamping. Further renal protection can be obtained by selective renal perfusion (SRP) with cold blood or cold crystalloid solution, the latter enriched with mannitol. The perfusion should be administered in a volume- and pressure-controlled way and, if possible, by use of a pulsatile pump. Selective visceral perfusion (SVP) is not routinely used, as it does not provide adequate blood flow for visceral protection. The best way to protect the intestines is by minimizing the ischemic time. The preservation of renal and visceral function after OTAAAR can only be obtained with specific strategies before, during, and after the operation. This involves a series of measures, including selective digestive decontamination (SDD), avoidance of nephrotoxic drugs, minimizing the renal and intestinal ischemic time, systemic cooling, avoidance of hemodynamic instability, and regional protective perfusion of the kidneys. Future innovations in catheters, cardiac bypass flow types, mechanical components, hybrid vascular grafts, and pharmaceutical protection measures will hopefully further reduce organ complications.
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Introduction

Postoperative acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common complication after open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA) repair (OTAAAR) with an incidence that ranges between 21% and 63%, depending on the definition of AKI (1-3). About 2.7% to 10.7% of the patients will develop kidney failure requiring temporary or permanent dialysis (4-7). This percentage is even higher in case of emergent surgery or in case of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (8,9). Beside reduced quality of life (QoL) and longer hospital stay, the early mortality after OTAAAR rises significantly.
from 5–19% to 8.9–32% in case of AKI and can be as high as 63% when postoperative dialysis is needed (10-13). Furthermore, the 5-year survival after OTAAAR decreases from 74% to 43% in case of postoperative CKD (1). Although visceral complications after OTAAAR have a lower incidence of around 7%, they also have been associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates of 15% to 63% (14-17).

As Crawford type II, III, and IV TAAAs require the reattachment of the renal and visceral [celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery (SMA)] arteries during repair, there is an inevitable period of renal and visceral ischemic time, regardless of the surgical technique. Distal aortic retrograde perfusion systems are the most common used methods for organ protection during OTAAAR (11,18). However, debates on the optimal technique for organ preservation are ongoing, especially regarding perioperative renal and visceral preservation (19-21). Various renal and visceral protective techniques have been reported, such as SRP and SVP, DAP, hypothermic circulatory arrest, and cold perfusion of the renal arteries after aortic clamping (2,14,22-27). Specialized centers reported reduced perioperative mortality rates of <10% by following these surgical strategies (5,28,29). However, the persistent high renal and visceral complication rates indicate that these protection measures remain suboptimal and require optimization. Furthermore, patient selection plays an important role in predicting the outcome after OTAAAR (9,30). Pre-existing renal disease is the most important risk factor for developing postoperative AKI (10,31,32). Other risk factors that are associated with renal and/or visceral complications after OTAAAR include advanced age, the duration of renal and visceral ischemia, prolonged intraoperative hypotension, large surgical blood loss, associated atherosclerotic arterial vessel disease, history of cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and simple aortic clamp technique without antegrade or selective perfusion (7,8,10,22,30,33,34).

This state-of-the-art review gives an overview of the current and most evidence-based renal and visceral protection methods during OTAAAR, based on the most recent publications and personal experience.

Methods

An electronic search was performed in four medical databases: PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and the Cochrane Library. The following MeSH terms were used: thoracoabdominal aneurysm, TAAAR, visceral protection, renal protection, kidney, perfusion, and intestines. Every trial, case report, review, and editorial was considered. As this review focuses on the newest protection techniques, the search for publications was limited to the last 10 years. There was no focus on publication language or impact factor of the journal. A total of 39 relevant publications were included in this review. To make sure that other relevant articles were not missed, the references in all found publications were additionally screened. The function “Related articles” was also used on a regular basis. The literature search was ended on August 31st, 2017.

Results

Cardiac bypass circuit

With the development of mechanical circulatory support systems, the classic approach of simple aortic cross clamping without any distal perfusion strategy has been abandoned completely. In large aortic surgery centres, the LHB is currently the most frequent approach to provide DAP during OTAAAR. Its implementation has been described by multiple authors (11,14,29,35,36). However, some studies have reported an increased incidence of AKI after the use of LHB, which is probably due to the direct cannulation of the left common femoral artery with subsequent risk of leg ischemia and myoglobin increase (37-39). An alternative arterial cannulation technique is using a short graft, which is sewn end-to-side onto the femoral artery. Miller et al. compared both cannulation methods and found a 15% to 20% reduction in postoperative AKI in patients with CKD, suggesting an advantage of this alternative cannulation technique in high-risk patients (40). When the iliac arteries are occluded and distal aortic retrograde perfusion is not feasible, Monnot et al. recently described the possibility of using a temporary arterial shunt inserted onto the left axillary artery to provide passive renal and visceral perfusion after the aorta is opened (18). They reported good outcomes in the first series of 10 patients.

Table 1 gives an overview of the possible inflow and outflow cannulation sites. Besides the LHB, full cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is another approach to provide DAP, but it is less frequently used. Additional organ protection can be provided by delivering some level of systemic hypothermia during cardiac bypass to prolong the ischemic tolerance during aortic occlusion. Both mild systemic hypothermia (30–34 °C), as well as deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) (15–18 °C) are
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possible (41-44). However, several studies show that DHCA has a more protective effect in case of increased organ ischaemia time (over 60 minutes), while mild hypothermia is the better choice for shorter ischaemic times (45-50).

**SRP strategies**

Cold crystalloid solution has been the standard approach for SRP for the last two decades and several studies have reported excellent renal protection (2,8-10,51,52). During SRP, it is important to notice an increase in arterial pressure due to the volume added to the circulation and a substantial drop in systemic temperature. Although the usual target renal temperature throughout the ischemic period used to be aimed at 15 °C or less, more recent data suggested that temperatures between 15 and 28 °C provide excellent protection as well (3,39,53). Besides, an aimed kidney temperature of 15 °C or less is often not reachable within the constraints of avoiding fluid overload and severe systemic hypothermia. Although selective blood perfusion of the kidneys would seem like a logical choice to reduce the renal ischemic time during aortic clamping, Köksoy et al. reported a significantly higher incidence of postoperative AKI when using normothermic (37 °C) blood, compared to cold (4 °C) crystalloid perfusion (63% vs. 21%, P=0.03) for SRP (2). On the contrary, cold blood and cold crystalloid solution seem to be equally effective for SRP, with no significant differences in level of renal hypothermia, renal outcome, or mortality (3).

Custodiol is a well-known enriched and buffered crystalloid solution that is routinely used for cardioplegia in open heart surgery, as well as for the preservation of organs during transplant surgery. Although currently not routinely used for SRP, one study reports a significant lower rate of postoperative AKI (P=0.002) when using Custodiol, compared to cold crystalloid perfusate (34).

As to the mode of perfusion administration, the initial approach was to only assess the volume flow in each perfusion catheter (volume-controlled perfusion). Jacobs et al. later reported an added benefit of providing pressure-controlled selective perfusion for the renal and visceral arteries (6). A perfusion pressure of at least 60 mmHg is suggested and can be measured with pressure-sensitive catheter tips that are placed in the renal and visceral arteries. Analogous to the study by Miller et al., this was especially advantageous for patients with CKD, as none of the CKD-patients developed renal failure postoperatively when receiving adequate pressure-controlled renal perfusion. Jacobs et al. even suggested a mean selective perfusion pressure of 85 mmHg or more in case of CKD (6,40). In addition to a pressure- and volume-controlled renal perfusion, a recent study by Gallinat et al. states the importance of pulsatile machine perfusion of the renal arteries, which should result in lower tubular damage and higher creatinine clearance, compared to non-pulsatile (continuous flow) perfusion (54).

Adequate arterial permeability is of course crucial to obtain sufficient renal cooling. This is often a problem due to atherosclerotic plaques or dissecting membranes in case of chronic dissections. Therefore, preoperative optimization of the renal perfusion is an attractive goal. Sullivan et al. were among the first to report their successful experience with preoperative renal stenting in case of renal artery stenosis (55). Yue et al. reported successfully postoperative renal artery thrombectomy with normalisation of the renal function (56). These pre- and postoperative renal stenting reports stimulated research on perioperative renal stenting during OTAAAR. LeMaire et al. inserted 80 stents in either one or both renal arteries in 400 patients undergoing OTAAAR (57). No significant differences in morbidity or mortality were reported between the stented and non-stented groups. Although the stenting itself was a technical success, the need for postoperative haemodialysis was the highest in the group of patients undergoing stenting as an adjunct to renal endarterectomy.

**SVP**

Clearly, intestines can tolerate a much longer ischemic time than the kidneys. Therefore, in the case of OTAAAR, selective visceral perfusion (SVP) has been introduced to provide sufficient perfusion to the intestines during the period of renal ischemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Possible inflow and outflow cannulation sites for cardiac bypass during OTAAAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common femoral artery (direct cannulation/side branch graft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common iliac artery (direct cannulation/side branch graft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal graft (if the repair started in craniocaudal direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial appendage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left upper pulmonary vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left lower pulmonary vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal aorta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTAAAR, open thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair.
period than the kidneys before irreversible damage occurs. In contrary to SRP, SVP is not routinely used during OTAAAR. To evaluate the importance of SVP, Kalder et al. set up a porcine model (15 pigs) of thoracic aortic cross-clamping with or without SVP (58). They found that SVP resulted in a significantly better microcirculation (blood flow in the intestinal mucosal and muscular layers) (P=0.0018), less acidosis (P=0.0221), less extensive tissue damage, and less inflammation (IL-8 production) (P=0.0374). However, mucosal injury and lactate acidosis were still detectable in the SVP-group, proving that SVP remains insufficient for visceral protection. Regarding the effect of SVP on the hepatosplanchnic metabolism, Kunihara et al. found that patients who received SVP during OTAAAR did not develop coagulopathy, nor clinically relevant liver or renal dysfunction (42). However, they did note a significant decrease in hepatic venous oxygen saturation and lactate extraction ratio, suggesting that SVP cannot deliver physiologically adequate blood flow and that the duration of SVP should be minimised.

In a follow-up study in 2015, Kalder et al. investigated the effect of DAP on the perfusion of the intestinal wall (59). Using the same porcine model setup and adding DAP to both the SVP- and non-SVP-group, they found that DAP did indeed establish adequate flow rates and mean arterial blood pressures in the visceral arteries. They also suggested to use extracorporeal circulation (ECC) with normal flow rates, as this will result in a lower increase of lactate acidosis, compared to ECC with a low flow rate.

**Perfusion additives**

Commonly used crystalloid perfusion formulas are lactated Ringer’s solution (Hartmann) or 0.9% NaCl solution, with several additives including mannitol, methylprednisolone, and heparin (21,27,39). The added benefit of mannitol has been described extensively: it causes an expansion of the intravascular and extracellular fluid volumes, decreases blood viscosity, induces renal vasodilatation, reduced tubular cell swelling, and produces an osmotic diuresis. Moreover, mannitol is an oxygen free radical (OFR) scavenger and will eliminate the OFR’s, associated with ischaemia-reperfusion injury. The previously mentioned study by Tshomba et al. reports an even greater benefit when adding histidine-tryptophan-ketogluterate to the perfusate (34).

Additionally, a recent randomised controlled trial (RCT) by Mori et al. reported that a continuous infusion of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) during and after the operation could further diminish the incidence of postoperative AKI (60). ANP is known to dilate the renal arteries and increase the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), acting as a diuretic, natriuretic and anti-inflammatory renal agent. Previous studies have already shown that a low-dose ANP infusion reduced the need for haemodialysis in patients after cardiovascular surgery (61-63). In a group of 42 patients who received aortic arch surgery, Mori et al. showed that intraoperative ANP resulted in a significant lower incidence of AKI (P=0.014) and a significant higher perioperative urine output (P=0.005) (60). There was, however, no significant difference in the need for dialysis and 30-day mortality.

**Reducing the organ ischemia time (IT)**

Prolonged renal and visceral IT remains one of the most important risk factors for AKI and visceral ischemia after OTAAAR (7,10,22,30,33). Several factors can result in a prolonged IT, such as technical difficulties, severe atherosclerotic arterial vessel disease or remote location of the renal or arterial vessel. In case of the latter two, a bypass graft from the aortic prosthesis to the renal and/or visceral arteries is sometimes necessary. Although this has been described before with great feasibility and excellent graft patency, this added step can be time consuming (64). By using covered self-expanding stents and performing so called “sutureless anastomoses”, difficult vessel exposures and graft anastomoses can be avoided and the IT can be shortened. Lachat et al. first described this innovative technique in 2008 with the VORTEC stent graft (65). A covered stent graft was deployed into the renal artery and end-to-end sutured onto a bypass graft, which was in turn end-to-side sutured onto the main aortic graft. Excellent technical success and patency rates were reported. The main shortcoming of this technique was the need to still perform two anastomoses (stent to bypass graft and bypass graft to aortic graft). Chiessa et al. recently reported their first experiences with a more novel hybrid vascular graft, consisting of a vascular prosthesis that includes a nitinol-reinforced self-expanding section at one of its extremities, allowing a sutureless endovascular anastomosis with a renal or visceral vessel (33). The prosthesis itself can be sutured onto the aortic graft, thus eliminating one extra anastomosis per vessel. Possible indications for this hybrid graft were remote location of the vessels, renal artery stenosis, and poor quality of the surrounding aortic wall (in case of aortic dissection or severe atherosclerotic disease). Compared to
a group of patients who received the standard anatomic repair, the graft-group had significantly shorter cold renal perfusion times. Although both the total renal ischemia times and rate of AKI were lower in the graft-group, these outcomes did not reach statistical significance.

Several other operative techniques have been reported through the years to further reduce the IT, such as creating a bevelled upper anastomosis to include the intestinal and right renal arteries, preserving visceral perfusion by placing a Javid shunt between the descending thoracic aorta and SMA after opening the aneurysma, and using a Y-shaped arterial line in case of LHB (17,26,53,66-68).

Discussion

Perioperative mechanical improvements in renal and visceral perfusion and pharmacologic support of renal function have been explored in patients in need of major cardiovascular surgery, including OTAAAR. The traditional approach of simple aortic cross clamping without any distal perfusion has become obsolete and the use of some sort of bypass circuit is routinely used. Mechanical circulatory support has gained tremendous popularity in the last decade and both ECC and LHB can be used to maintain blood flow to the kidneys and viscera during the proximal portion of the aortic repair, although the latter is the most preferred option in most centres. At our centre in Sint Jan (Bruges, Belgium) we have been using the LHB with a centrifugal pump since 1987. We believe it maintains an adequate proximal and distal perfusion during the clamping phase and can reduce the visceral and renal ischemic time, a theory that has been confirmed by Svensson et al. in 1993 (11). We also believe in the benefits of using a side branch graft on the common femoral artery and routinely apply it in case of CKD or when both iliac arteries are included in the aneurysm (40). A RCT would be useful to determine the benefits of this technique in low-risk patients.

To further reduce the organ IT and maximise visceral and renal blood perfusion, we opt for sequential aortic clamping during the repair phase: after placement of the proximal clamp, we place the distal clamp in succession at the level of T6 for the proximal anastomosis, T12 for the anastomosis of the intercostal arteries, and infrarenal for the anastomosis of the visceral and renal arteries. We truly believe that the use of a bypass circuit, in combination with sequential clamping and replacing the aorta in a cranio-caudal direction offers maximal benefit with regards to the reduction of IT. It is furthermore important to strive for adequate arterial pressure before, during and after aortic clamping to maximize end-organ perfusion and oxygen delivery. During aortic clamping, we strive for a proximal systolic pressure of $\geq 120$ mmHg and a distal mean arterial pressure (MAP) of $\geq 100$ mmHg.

In the line of other authors, we tend to perform an endarterectomy and/or stenting of the renal and/or visceral arteries in case of severe atherosclerotic disease or dissection (26,39). Despite the disadvantage that this will prolong the IT, it has been proven that renal endarterectomy is associated with significantly less renal failure (39,69). A hybrid vascular graft seems like an attractive solution, as it decreases the technical complexity and duration of the distal anastomosis in case of stenotic or dissected arteries (26). The technical feasibility and reported early patency rates are already promising (33,70). The concept of sutureless anastomoses can also be useful in patients with a connective tissue disease (such as Marfan syndrome) in which the remaining aortic tissue should be kept to a minimum to prevent aneurysmal formation of the aortic patch. In that case, separate vessel reattachments are preferable, which can be time consuming.

The incidence of postoperative AKI and visceral complications remains substantial and the rate of postoperative renal complications has not decreased in the last two decades, regardless of all the medical and surgical advances in protective strategies (71,72). The major causes of perioperative organ damage are the temporary ischemia and subsequent ischemia-reperfusion injury, arterial embolism, and stenosis or dissection of the reattached renal vessel (6,11,73,74). Multiple RCT's have been conducted to compare different perfusate solutions and determine which one provided the best organ protection during the ischemic period (2,3,8,34,36,75,76). Cold perfusates generally provide the best protection and experimental studies have shown a significant reduction in renal oxygen consumption with cooling of the renal parenchyma (2,77-79). Based on Level B evidence, the 2010 guidelines recommend either the use of cold blood or cold crystalloid perfusion for renal protection during OTAAAR (76). In the spirit of those guidelines, the application of renal hypothermia has been reported by several authors (2,3,36,52,53,69,80,81). However, the debate between cold blood and crystalloid solution is still ongoing, as LeMaire et al. found no significant differences in renal outcome between both perfusates (3). Svensson et al. analysed Crawford's series of patients and reports better outcomes for cold crystalloid solution in case of renal artery occlusive disease or when the aortic clamp time exceeds 30–45 minutes (11,39,69).
We, as most authors, prefer the use of cold crystalloid renal perfusion, which is somewhat less cumbersome than the cold blood technique. However, one should be aware of the possible risk of volume overload and systemic hypothermia when cold crystalloid perfusion is being used. In addition to renal hypothermia, we opt for mild systemic hypothermia, which has proven to induce less systemic inflammatory response and less reperfusion organ injury, when the IT is less than 60 minutes (46,47,49,50). Tshomba et al. see benefits in using Custodiol over Ringer’s solution for SRP with a statistical evidence of reduced AKI (34). However, the analysis of the Ringer’s group was retrospective in nature, which makes comparing both groups difficult and subjective to bias. We mostly stand critical towards the systemic effects of Custodiol when using it in conjunction with LHB and prefer not to use it for SRP for now. We look forward to the results of a planned RCT, comparing both perfusates.

Overall, our selective perfusion protocol is as follows: SRP (1,000 mL Hartmann solution with 54 mL mannitol 15% (8 gr) at a temperature of 4 °C) is administered through perfusion/occlusion catheters at a rate of 100 mL/kidney/min and repeated every 20 minutes. The first dose is 300 mL/kidney, the following doses 200 mL/kidney. Similar techniques have been described elsewhere (9,21). The perfusion is currently delivered as a continuous flow (using a roller pump), but we are considering the possibility of applying pulsatile flow, as suggested by Gallinat et al. (54). In contrast to some other reports, we do not add methylprednisolone to the Ringer’s solution and clinical studies fail to show a clear benefit for the use of corticosteroids in reducing AKI (82,83). On the other hand, mannitol has been shown to reduce cell swelling and improve renal blood flow after ischemia (84). We have no experience in the use of ANP, but the short-term outcomes of Mori et al. seem promising (60).

We currently do not apply SVP during aortic clamping and previous studies have confirmed that it remains insufficient for visceral protection (42,58,85). A possible explanation could be that the flow and perfusion pressure during SVP remains insufficient to compensate for the SVP-induced hypoperfusion and, therefore, low-flow ischemia and mucosal injury still occurs (58). However, the debate on the use of visceral perfusion and shunting is ongoing and some authors continue to use this technique (26,42,66,67). Although it may help prevent some visceral complications, the possible benefit of SVP is strongly dependent on numerous factors, such as the systemic arterial pressure, oxygenation from the native (right) lung, visceral vascular resistance, and diameter of the shunt catheters used. Current innovations in catheters, ECC flow types, mechanical components, and pharmaceutical protection measures could increase the benefits of SVP in the future (33,86-89).

We currently believe that the best way to reduce visceral injury is simply by minimizing the IT. Additionally, DAP has proven to be effective in maintaining visceral arterial flow, which is why we strongly advise to install a cardiac bypass circuit to maintain DAP for as long as possible (59). Furthermore, it is important to carefully examine the preoperative scan and evaluate the wall of the lower part of the descending aorta. In case of mural thrombi, plain supraceliac clamping should be used with caution to prevent cholesterol emboli to the visceral arteries.

Additionally, we administer SDD preoperatively, as this will reduce the bacterial burden in the small intestine and thus limit bacterial translocation, caused by ischemic vascular injury (90). SDD is a well-known treatment in critically ill or septic patients and has proven to be one of the most evidence-based interventions in the reduction of mortality after sepsis (91). It involves the administration of parenteral and enteral antibiotics to eradicate potentially pathogenic aerobic gram-negative bacteria in the oropharynx and intestines (91,92). There are currently no studies that discuss the benefit of SDD before OTAAAR, but multiple RCT’s and meta-analyses have shown that SDD significantly reduces mortality, lower airway infections, blood stream infections, and controls antibiotic resistance in case of sepsis (91). We therefore believe that performing SDD in a preoperative setting can further prevent postoperative infections. Although there is no agreed-upon protocol of which antibiotics are most effective, our standard is to administer a combination of Ciproxine and Fluconazol 3 to 5 days prior to surgery until the day of surgery.

Conclusions

In order to reduce postoperative mortality and optimise surgical results, the preservation of renal and intestinal function is mandatory during and after OTAAAR. This requires not only optimal preparation with the avoidance of nephotoxic drugs and SDD the days before planned surgery, but also intraoperative strategies such as strict limitation of renal and intestinal ischemia, systemic cooling, avoidance of hemodynamic instability (mainly anaemia,
hypovolemia, and hypotension), and regional protective perfusion of the kidneys. Only by adopting a multi-modality approach aimed at all these topics, we will be able to further reduce these dramatic, and often deadly, complications.
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