Intraoperative complications during VATS lobectomies from conversion to minimally-invasive “trouble-shooting”
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to explore intraoperative complications during video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) lobectomy. Vascular and bronchial injuries, after a robust learning curve, can be sometimes successfully managed by VATS. During a VATS lobectomy, it is necessary: to be prepared in potentially dangerous situations; to think about strategies to handle intraoperative complications and to share these strategies with your own staff. Herein we present some videos showing cases where vascular injuries led to conversion and others where a minimally-invasive trouble shooting of intraoperative complications was achieved.
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Introduction

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) lobectomy has been used since the early nineties (1) and is now considered the gold standard treatment for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (2). Conversion-related factors and learning curve impacts, are described in the literature in some recent papers (3-5). We recently reviewed reasons for conversion and factors related with conversion in our own series of VATS lobectomy (6). From 2009 to October 2017, 610 patients underwent a VATS lobectomy; 32 (5.25%) cases experienced conversion from VATS to thoracotomy. We classified conversions as follows:

- Emergency/safety: due to vascular injury and intraoperative bleeding or bronchial injury;
- Oncologic: due to positive mediastinal lymph node, unexpected tumor extension into the mediastinum, chest wall or adjacent lobe infiltration;
- Technical: including presence of dense adhesions or inability to proceed due to space restrictions in morbidly obese patients.

As experience grows, surgeons reach lower conversion rate (6) and can handle intraoperative complications as vascular or bronchial injuries by VATS.

Herein we present some videos showing cases where vascular injuries led to conversion and others where a minimally-invasive trouble shooting of intraoperative complications was achieved.

Surgical technique

All VATS lobectomies were performed with an anterior triportal approach, as we previously described (6). In case of conversion, we performed an anterior thoracotomy extending the utility incision.

Patients description

We herein describe the cases of six patients undergoing
VATS lobectomy for suspected or ascertained NSCLC. In two cases conversion was necessary, while in four cases the complications were successfully managed through VATS.

**Case number 1**

The patient was scheduled to undergo left upper VATS lobectomy. Tear of the pulmonary artery occurred after stapling. It happened at the beginning of our experience, and the assistant surgeon handling the camera was not prepared to deal with a major vascular lesion. The first decision to retrieve the camera after the unexpected complication was wrong. Thereafter, safe conversion was carried out while the injury was controlled by compression with a swab (Figure 1). Postoperative course was uneventful.

**Case number 2**

The patient was scheduled to undergo left upper VATS lobectomy. Lesion of the pulmonary artery occurred during stapler positioning. Initial attempt to manage vascular injury by VATS failed. After safe conversion, while the injury was controlled by compression with a swab (Figure 2), vascular suture was performed and postoperative course was uneventful.

**Case number 3**

The patient was scheduled to undergo right upper VATS lobectomy. Injury of the A2 pulmonary artery safely controlled by VATS with TachoSil (9). VATS, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery. Available online: http://asvidett.amegroups.com/article/view/22754

**Case number 4**

The patient was scheduled to undergo right upper VATS lobectomy. Injury of the anterior truncus occurred during smooth preparation and tunneling of the vessel. Bleeding was safely managed by peripheral compression with a swab, re-tunneling and central stapling (Figure 4). Postoperative course was uneventful.
Case number 5

The patient was scheduled to undergo left upper VATS lobectomy. Hemorrhage due to tearing of staple line on a fragile wall of the pulmonary artery (A1–3). Hemostasis was successfully obtained after double sealing with a hemostatic sponge (Veriset) and compression with a swab (Figure 5). Postoperative course was uneventful.

Case number 6

The patient was scheduled to undergo right lower VATS lobectomy. Tear of the middle lobe bronchus occurred. Air-sealing was successfully achieved by thoracoscopic suture of the fistula (Figure 6). Postoperative course was uneventful.

Conclusions

Conversion is not a failure, safety first. There is no increase in morbidity after conversion. As experience grows, surgeons reach lower conversion rates and more ability to manage intraoperative complications by VATS. During VATS lobectomies try to be aware and prepared for potentially dangerous situations; think in advance of strategies to handle intraoperative complications; share these strategies with your own staff (surgeons, anesthesiologist, scrub nurse).
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